

Teleport Messaging for Distributed Stream Programs

William Thies, Michal Karczmarek, Janis Sermulins,
Rodric Rabbah and Saman Amarasinghe

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
PPoPP 2005

The logo for StreamIt, featuring the word "StreamIt" in a blue, sans-serif font. A red horizontal line with an arrowhead pointing to the right is positioned above the "t".

<http://cag.lcs.mit.edu/streamit>


***Please note:** This presentation was updated in September 2006 to simplify the timing of upstream messages. The corresponding update of the paper is available at <http://cag.csail.mit.edu/commit/papers/05/thies-ppopp05.pdf>*

Streaming Application Domain

- Based on a stream of data
 - Radar tracking, microphone arrays, HDTV editing, cell phone base stations
 - Graphics, multimedia, software radio
- Properties of stream programs
 - Regular and repeating computation
 - Parallel, independent actors with explicit communication
 - Data items have short lifetimes


➔ **Amenable to aggressive compiler optimization**

[ASPLOS '02, PLDI '03, LCTES'03, LCTES '05]




Control Messages

- Occasionally, low-bandwidth control messages are sent between actors
 - Often demands precise timing
 - Communications: adjust protocol, amplification, compression
 - Network router: cancel invalid packet
 - Adaptive beamformer: track a target
 - Respond to user input, runtime errors
 - Frequency hopping radio
- ➡ **What is the right programming model?**
- ➡ **How to implement efficiently?**



Supporting Control Messages

- Option 1: Synchronous method call
 - PRO:** - delivery transparent to user
 - CON:** - timing is unclear
 - limits parallelism
- Option 2: Embed message in stream
 - PRO:** - message arrives with data
 - CON:** - complicates filter code
 - complicates stream graph
 - runtime overhead




Teleport Messaging

- Looks like method call, but timed relative to data in the stream

```
TargetFilter x;
if newProtocol(p) {
  x.setProtocol(p) @ 2;
}
```

```
void setProtocol(int p) {
  reconfig(p);
}
```

- PRO:
 - simple and precise for user
 - adjustable latency
 - can send upstream or downstream
 - exposes dependences to compiler



Outline


- StreamIt
- Teleport Messaging
- Case Study
- Related Work and Conclusion

Outline

- StreamIt
- Teleport Messaging
- Case Study
- Related Work and Conclusion


Model of Computation

- Synchronous Dataflow [Lee 92]
 - Graph of autonomous **filters**
 - Communicate via FIFO channels
 - Static I/O rates
- Compiler decides on an order of execution (schedule)
 - Many legal schedules




Example StreamIt Filter

```
float->float filter LowPassFilter (int N, float[N] weights) {  
  work peek N push 1 pop 1 {  
    float result = 0;  
    for (int i=0; i<weights.length; i++) {  
      result += weights[i] * peek(i);  
    }  
    push(result);  
    pop();  
  }  
}
```



Example StreamIt Filter

```
float->float filter LowPassFilter (int N, float[N] weights) {  
  work peek N push 1 pop 1 {  
    float result = 0;  
    for (int i=0; i<weights.length; i++) {  
      result += weights[i] * peek(i);  
    }  
    push(result);  
    pop();  
  }  
  
  handler setWeights(float[N] _weights) {  
    weights = _weights;  
  }  
}
```




Example StreamIt Filter

```

float->float filter LowPassFilter (int N, float[N] weights, Frontend f) {
  work peek N push 1 pop 1 {
    float result = 0;
    for (int i=0; i<weights.length; i++) {
      result += weights[i] * peek(i);
    }
    if (result == 0) {
      f.increaseGain() @ [2:5];
    }
    push(result);
    pop();
  }


  handler setWeights(float[N] _weights) {
    weights = _weights;
  }
}

```



StreamIt Language Overview

- StreamIt is a novel language for streaming
 - Exposes parallelism and communication
 - Architecture independent
 - Modular and composable
 - Simple structures composed to creates complex graphs
 - Malleable
 - Change program behavior with small modifications




Outline

- StreamIt
- **Teleport Messaging**
- Case Study
- Related Work and Conclusion


Providing a Common Timeframe

- Control messages need precise timing with respect to data stream
- However, there is no global clock in distributed systems
 - Filters execute independently, whenever input is available
- Idea: define message timing with respect to data dependences
 - Must be robust to multiple datarates
 - Must be robust to splitting, joining




Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)

- Describes data dependences between filters



Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute **n** times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)

- Describes data dependences between filters




n	$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$
0	
1	
2	

$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)

- Describes data dependences between filters




n	$\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$
0	0
1	
2	

$\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)


- Describes data dependences between filters



$\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times


Stream Dependence Function (SDEP)

- Describes data dependences between filters



$SDEP_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute n times

Calculating SDEP: General Case



$$\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow C}(n) = \max_{i \in [1, m]} [\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow B_i}(\text{SDEP}_{B_i \leftarrow C}(n))]$$

➔ **SDEP is compositional**

$\text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow B}(n)$: minimum number of times that **A** must execute to make it possible for **B** to execute **n** times

Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **n**th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


- SDEP provides precise semantics for message timing

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the n th execution of **S**
- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration m such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **n**th execution of **S**
- with latency range [**k**₁, **k**₂]

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range [**k₁**, **k₂**]

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that $n+k_1 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq n+k_2$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that **4+0 ≤ SDEP_{S←R}(m) ≤ 4+0**



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$
 $m = 4$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$
 $m = 4$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP

Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:


- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that

$$4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$$

$$\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$$

$$m = 4$$


Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$
 $m = 4$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$
 $m = 4$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$
 $m = 4$



Teleport Messaging using SDEP


Receiver r;
r.increaseGain() @ [0:0]

If **S** sends message to **R**:

- on the **4th** execution of **S**
- with latency range **[0, 0]**


Then message is delivered to **R**:

- on any iteration **m** such that
 $4+0 \leq \text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) \leq 4+0$
 $\text{SDEP}_{S \leftarrow R}(m) = 4$
 $m = 4$




Sending Messages Upstream

- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream


- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream

- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps




Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream

- If embedding messages in stream, must send in direction of dataflow
- Teleport messaging provides a unified abstraction
- Intuition:
 - If **S** sends to **R** with latency **k**
 - Then **R** receives message after producing item that **S** sees in **k** of its own time steps

➡ **R** receives message after iteration 7





Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Constraints Imposed on Schedule

	latency < 0	latency ≥ 0
Message travels upstream	Illegal	Must not buffer too much data
Message travels downstream	Must not buffer too little data	No constraint

Finding a Schedule

- Non-overlapping messages:
greedy scheduling algorithm
- Overlapping messages:
future work
 - Overlapping constraints
can be feasible in isolation,
but infeasible in combination




Outline

- StreamIt
- Teleport Messaging
- **Case Study**
- Related Work and Conclusion


Frequency Hopping Radio

- Transmitter and receiver switch between set of known frequencies
- Transmitter indicates timing and target of hop using freq. pulse
- Receiver detects pulse downstream, adjusts RFtoIF with exact timing:
 - Switch at same time as transmitter
 - Switch at FFT frame boundary



Frequency Hopping Radio: Manual Feedback


- Introduce feedback loop with dummy items to indicate presence or absence of message
- To add latency, enqueue 1536 initial items on loop
- Extra changes needed along path of message
 - Interleave messages, data
 - Route messages to loop
 - Adjust I/O rates
- To respect FFT frames, change RFtoIF granularity




Frequency Hopping Radio: Teleport Messaging

- Use message latency of 6
- Modify only RFtoIF, detector
- FFT frame boundaries automatically respected:
 $SDEP_{RFIF \leftarrow det}(n) = 512 * n$

➡ **Teleport messaging improves programmability**



Preliminary Results




Outline

- StreamIt
- Teleport Messaging
- Case Study
- **Related Work and Conclusion**

Related Work

- Heterogeneous systems modeling
 - Ptolemy project (Lee et al.); scheduling (Bhattacharyya, ...)
 - Boolean dataflow: parameterized data rates
 - Teleport messaging allows complete static scheduling
- Program slicing
 - Many researchers; see Tip'95 for survey
 - Like SDEP, find set of dependent operations
 - SDEP is more specialized; can calculate exactly
- Streaming languages
 - Brook, Cg, StreamC/KernelC, Spidle, Occam, Sisal, Parallel Haskell, Lustre, Esterel, Lucid Sychrone
 - Our goal: adding restricted dynamism to static language

Conclusion



- Teleport messaging provides precise and flexible event handling while allowing static optimizations
 - Data dependences (SDEP) is natural timing mechanism
 - Messaging exposes true communication to compiler

Extra Slides


Calculating SDEP in Practice

- Direct SDEP formulation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{SDEP}_{A \leftarrow C}(n) = & \\
 & \max \left[\max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{\max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{n^* o_c - k}{U_{b1}} \right\rfloor) * o_{b1} - k}{U_a} \right\rfloor \right), \right. \\
 & \max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{\max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{n^* o_c - k}{U_{b2}} \right\rfloor) * o_{b2} - k}{U_a} \right\rfloor \right), \\
 & \left. \max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{\max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{n^* o_c - k}{U_{b3}} \right\rfloor) * o_{b3} - k}{U_a} \right\rfloor \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

➔ Direct calculation could grow unwieldy

Calculating SDEP in Practice



$$\text{SDEP}(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \in \text{init} \\ \text{lookup_table}[n] & n \in \text{steady}_0 \\ k * S_A + \text{SDEP}(n - k * S_C) & n \in \text{steady}_k \end{cases}$$

➡ Build small SDEP table statically, use for all n

Sending Messages Upstream


If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:

- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$
- on the n th execution of **S**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration m such that

$$\text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_1) \leq m \leq \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_2)$$



Sending Messages Upstream


If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:

- with latency range $[k_1, k_2]$
- on the n th execution of **S**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration m such that

$$\text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_1) \leq m \leq \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_2)$$



Receiver r ;
 $r.\text{decimate()} @ [3:3]$


Sending Messages Upstream

If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:

- with latency range **[3, 3]**
- on the **n**th execution of **S**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration **m** such that $SDEP_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_1) \leq m \leq SDEP_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_2)$



Receiver **r**;
`r.decimate() @ [3:3]`

Sending Messages Upstream


If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:

- with latency range **[3, 3]**
- on the **4th** execution of **S**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration **m** such that

$$\text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_1) \leq m \leq \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(n+k_2)$$



Receiver **r**;
`r.decimate() @ [3:3]`

Sending Messages Upstream


If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:

- with latency range **[3, 3]**
- on the **4th** execution of **S**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration **m** such that

$$\text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(4+3) \leq m \leq \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(4+3)$$



Receiver **r**;
`r.decimate() @ [3:3]`

Sending Messages Upstream

If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:


- with latency range **[3, 3]**
- on the **4th** execution of **S**

Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration **m** such that

$$\text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(4+3) \leq m \leq \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(4+3)$$

$$m = \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(7)$$



Receiver **r**;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Sending Messages Upstream

If **S** sends **upstream** message to **R**:

- with latency range **[3, 3]**
- on the **4th** execution of **S**


Then message is delivered to **R**:

- after any iteration **m** such that

$$\text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(4+3) \leq m \leq \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(4+3)$$

$$m = \text{SDEP}_{R \leftarrow S}(7)$$


$$m = 7$$



Receiver **r**;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Constraints Imposed on Schedule

- If **S** sends on iteration **n**, then **R** receives on iteration **n+3**
 - Thus, if **S** is on iteration **n**, then **R** must not execute past **n+3**
 - Otherwise, **R** could miss message
 - ➡ Messages constrain the schedule
- If latency is -1 instead of 3, then no schedule satisfies constraint
 - ➡ Some latencies are infeasible



Receiver r;
r.decimate() @ [3:3]

Implementation

- Teleport messaging implemented in cluster backend of StreamIt compiler
 - SDEP calculated at compile-time, stored in table
- Message delivery uses “credit system”
 - Sender sends two types of packets to receiver:
 1. **Credit:** “execute n times before checking again.”
 2. **Message:** “deliver this message at iteration m .”
 - Frequency of credits depends on SDEP, latency range
 - Credits expose parallelism, reduce communication

Evaluation

- Evaluation platform:
 - Cluster of 16 Pentium III's (750 Mhz)
 - Fully-switched 100 Mb network
- StreamIt cluster backend
 - Compile to set of parallel threads, expressed in C
 - Threads communicate via TCP/IP
 - Partitioning algorithm creates load-balanced threads